(29)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 3401 of 2007

PETITIONERS : 1. N.K.Thakur Age about 48 year, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri Jethanand Thakur Middle School Kache Block – Bhanupratappur, Distt – Kanker (C.G.)

2. Kamal Singh Tirsunia Age about 50 year, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri Saganu Ram Primary School Ghotia Block – Bhanupratappur, Distt – Kanker (C.G.)

3. Tijau Ram Darpatti Age about 49 year, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri Sahdev Ram Primary School Dabkatla Block – Bhanupratappur, Distt – Kanker (C.G.)

4. Charan Singh Kosma Age about 53 year, Asstt. Teacher S/o Late Shri Tilak Ram Kosma Primary School Dongargaon Block – Bhanupratappur, Distt – Kanker (C.G.)

5. Chandrakant Sahu Age about 48 year, Asstt. Teacher S/o Shri Brijlal Sahu Primary School Hatkarra Block – Bhanupratappur, Distt – Kanker (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Tribal Welfare Department & Education Department D.K.S. Bhawa, Distt. – Raipur (C.G.)

2. Astt. Commissioner Tribal Welfare Department Kanker Disst. – Kanker (C.G.)

3. District Education Officer Kanker, District – Kanker (C.G.)

4. Block Education Officer Bhanupratappur District – Kanker (C.G.)

(29)

5. Treasure & Accounts Kanker, District – Kanker (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

Single Bench : Hon'ble Justice Shri Satish K. Agnihotri.

Present: Shri Somkant Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Shri Y.S.Thakur, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 21st day of June, 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein is asto whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same as to that of in W.P.(S) No. 58 of 2007 **(Paras Nath Gupta Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others)**, which was allowed vide order dated 15.01.2007.

3. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge